

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,629	01/10/2007	Michael Graupe	53525AUSM1	3581
27386 7590 01066910 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO AND BRANIGAN, P.C. C/O BERLEX BIOSCIENCES 2200 CLARENDON BOUEVARD SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			EXAMINER	
			SHTERENGARTS, SAMANTHA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/583,629 GRAUPE ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Samantha L. Shterengarts 1626 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information: Jiacobasus-Statement(s) (FTO/SBID)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
6) Other:

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Art Unit: 1626

### DETAILED ACTION

 Claims 1-18 are currently pending in the instant application and are subject to a lack of unity requirement.

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

As written, claim 18 contains non-statutory subject matter.

Refer to 35 U.S.C. 101:

Inventions Patentable: Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

For the purposes of restriction, the Examiner will interpret claim 18 as a methods of using the instantly claimed compounds of formula (I).

- I. Group I: Claims 1-4, drawn to products of the formula I.
- II. Group II: Claims 5-11, 13, 16-18 drawn to methods of treating a disease mediated by cysteine proteases, treating a patient undergoing therapy, treating immune response in a patient, and prophylactically treating a patient undergoing treatment.
- III. Group III: Claim 12 drawn to a method of conducting a clinical trial for a

Art Unit: 1626

biologic.

IV. Group IV: Claims 14-15 drawn to methods of determining the loss in efficacy

of a biologic and improving efficacy of a biologic.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a

single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than

one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is only permitted

if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1).

With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an international application, unity of invention

exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or

more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT rule 13.1 and 13.2 since, under 37

CFR 1.475(a)

Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of

invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions

involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression

"special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which

each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

3. Groups I-IV lack unity of invention since under 37 CFR 1.475: the technical feature

corresponding to the claims is the following non-variable core:

Art Unit: 1626

This core technical feature is not a special technical feature because it fails to define a contribution over the prior art as can be seen in Graupe et al. WO 04/000838), which discloses the same core as in instant Claim 1 in example 4, page 85 as shown below.

Therefore, claims 1-18 are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept and there is a lack of unity of invention because they lack a special technical feature as the technical feature present fails to define a contribution over the prior art. The core technical feature that is being claimed is taught by the prior art. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

Furthermore, in regards to Groups I-IV, even if unity of invention under 37 CFR 1.475(a) is not considered lacking, which it is as evidenced above, unity is lacking under 37 CFR 1.475(b). Under 37 CFR 1.475(b): A national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or

(3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product, and a use

of said product; or

(4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said

process; or

(5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product, and an

apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

And according to 37 CFR 1.475(c): if an application contains claims to more or less than

one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph 37 CFR 1.475(b), unity

of invention might not be present.

Therefore, since the claims are drawn to various structurally divergent compounds, which

do not make a contribution over the prior art, as well as various methods of using the compounds

of formula I (claims 4-6) and according to 37 CFR 1.475(e): the determination whether a group

of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without

regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single

claims.

Therefore, since the claims do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT

Rule 13.1 and lack the same or corresponding special technical feature, the claims lack unity of

invention.

Election of Species

4. As an additional requirement, with the election of any one of Groups I-IV, an election of

species of a particular species is also required. In order for this election to be considered fully

responsive to this requirement the election must include:

Art Unit: 1626

# Groups I-IV:

a) the name and structure of one species of the instantly claimed compounds

b) the location of the species (a) within the claims or (b) within the specification,

c) the claims that read on the elected species,

d) and a definition of the exact substitutions,

e.g. R1 is Hydrogen, X is oxygen, etc...

## Group II:

a) a single disease or disorder to be treated

b) the claims that read on the elected disorder

## Group IV:

a) a single biologic to be elected

b) the claims that read on the elected biologic

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,629

Art Unit: 1626

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samantha Shterengarts whose telephone number is (571)270-5316. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 9-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1626

/Samantha L. Shterengarts/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626 /Kamal A Saeed/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626